

Family Forest Habitat Conservation Plan

Volume I Chapters 1 - 15

Prepared For:

Lewis County, Washington

Prepared By:

**The Family Forest Foundation
P. O. Box 1364
Chehalis, Washington 98532**

August 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume I

1.0	Executive Summary	1-1
1.1	Introduction and Background	1-1
1.2	Scope of the FFHCP	1-2
1.3	Existing Resource Conditions	1-2
1.4	Riparian Habitat Conservation.....	1-4
1.5	Upland and Wetland Habitat Conservation	1-6
1.6	Monitoring, Reporting and Adaptive Management	1-7
1.7	Changed Circumstances	1-7
1.8	Costs and Funding	1-7
1.9	Effects of the Proposed Incidental Take and FFHCP on Covered Species	1-8
1.10	Alternatives to the Proposed Incidental Take	1-9
2.0	Introduction and Background	2-1
2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.2	The Nature of Washington State Family Forestlands.....	2-2
2.3	Family Forestlands in Lewis County.....	2-3
2.4	Lewis County Family Forest Landowner Demographics	2-3
2.5	Need for Incidental Take Coverage.....	2-4
2.6	Development of the Family Forest Habitat Conservation Plan.....	2-5
2.7	The Family Forest HCP Approach to Conservation	2-6
2.8	Benefits of the Family Forest Habitat Conservation Plan	2-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

3.0	Scope of the Family Forest HCP	3-1
3.1	Parties Eligible for Coverage.....	3-1
3.2	Lands Eligible for Coverage.....	3-1
3.3	Covered Species.....	3-1
3.4	Covered Activities.....	3-3
3.5	Term of the FFHCP.....	3-4
3.6	FFHCP Implementation.....	3-4
3.7	Relationship to Washington Forest Practices Rules.....	3-4
3.8	Enforcement of the FFHCP and Forest Practices Rules.....	3-7
	3.8.1 Overview.....	3-7
	3.8.2 Department of Natural Resources Enforcement Overview.....	3-8
	3.8.3 Forest Practices Permitting Process.....	3-8
	3.8.4 Compliance and Enforcement.....	3-14
	3.8.5 Landscape Level Mitigation of the Family Forest Habitat Conservation Plan.....	3-20
3.9	Relationship to Washington Shorelines Management Act.....	3-20
4.0	Current Condition of the Eligible Lands and Covered Species	4-1
4.1	Definition of Eligible Lands.....	4-1
4.2	Location.....	4-1
4.3	Land Use.....	4-4
4.4	Climate.....	4-5
4.5	Topography, Geology and Soils.....	4-7
	4.5.1 Topography and Geology.....	4-7
	4.5.2 Soils.....	4-7
4.6	Surface Hydrology.....	4-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.7	Water Quality.....	4-19
4.7.1	Surface Water Temperature	4-19
4.7.2	Dissolved Oxygen.....	4-28
4.7.3	Fine Sediment.....	4-31
4.7.4	Nutrients	4-33
4.8	Vegetation	4-35
4.9	Fish.....	4-36
4.10	Wildlife.....	4-48
5.0	Riparian Habitat Conservation.....	5-1
5.1	Riparian Habitat Conservation Goals	5-1
5.2	Measurable Resource Objectives for Riparian Habitat Conservation	5-2
5.3	Riparian Habitat Conservation Measures.....	5-3
5.3.1	Measures to Maintain Streamside Shade.....	5-3
5.3.2	Measures to Provide Large Woody Debris	5-13
5.3.3	Measures to Protect Streambank Integrity	5-46
5.3.4	Measures to Control Surface Erosion from Forested Lands.....	5-47
5.3.5	Measures Related to Nutrient Input.....	5-47
5.3.6	Measures to Protect Side-slope Seeps	5-48
5.3.7	Measures Related to Roads	5-48
5.3.8	Measures Related to Potentially Unstable Slopes and Landforms.....	5-49
6.0	Upland and Wetland Habitat Conservation.....	6-1
6.1	Upland and Wetland Habitat Conservation Goals	6-1
6.2	Measurable Resource Objectives for Upland and Wetland Habitat Conservation	6-1
6.3	Upland Habitat Conservation Measures.....	6-2
6.3.1	Measures to Limit Harvest Unit Size and Timing.....	6-2
6.3.2	Measures to Provide Legacy Wood.....	6-4
6.3.3	Measures to Protect Nests and Roosts of Covered Species.....	6-13
6.3.4	Species-specific Measures	6-16
6.4	Wetland Habitat Conservation Measures.....	6-22
6.4.1	Measures to Protect Wetlands with Fish-bearing Waters.....	6-22
6.4.2	Measures to Protect Forested Wetlands	6-23
6.4.3	Measures to Protect Non-fish, Non-forest Wetlands	6-24

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

7.0 Monitoring, Reporting and Adaptive Management7-1

7.1 Compliance Monitoring and Reporting7-1

7.2 Effectiveness Monitoring and Adaptive Management7-2

8.0 Changed Circumstances8-1

8.1 Incidental Take Coverage for Changed Circumstances8-1

8.2 Changed Circumstances Resulting from Natural Events8-1

8.2.1 Episodic Natural Events.....8-1

8.2.2 Global Climate Change.....8-3

8.3 Changed Circumstances Resulting from Human Actions.....8-4

8.3.1 Changes in the ESA Status of Species8-4

8.3.2 Condemnation of Covered Lands.....8-5

9.0 Costs and Funding of the Conservation Measures9-1

9.1 Introduction.....9-1

9.2 Implementation Costs.....9-1

9.3 Monitoring and Reporting Costs.....9-2

10.0 Effects of the HCP on the Covered Species10-1

10.1 Effects on Aquatic Species.....10-1

10.1.1 Introduction10-1

10.1.2 Methods10-1

10.1.3 Results.....10-9

10.2 Effects on Covered Wildlife Species10-33

10.2.1 Approach to the Analysis of Effects on Wildlife10-33

10.2.2 Columbia Torrent Salamander10-33

10.2.3 Cascade Torrent Salamander10-35

10.2.4 Dunn’s Salamander10-36

10.2.5 Larch Mountain Salamander10-38

10.2.6 Van Dyke’s Salamander10-39

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

10.2.7	Pacific Tailed Frog	10-41
10.2.8	Western Toad	10-42
10.2.9	Northwestern Pond Turtle	10-44
10.2.10	Great Blue Heron	10-46
10.2.11	Osprey	10-50
10.2.12	Bald Eagle	10-53
10.2.13	Northern Goshawk	10-60
10.2.14	Merlin	10-62
10.2.15	Marbled Murrelet	10-64
10.2.16	Band-tailed Pigeon	10-68
10.2.17	Northern Pygmy-Owl	10-71
10.2.18	Northern Spotted Owl	10-74
10.2.19	Vaux's Swift	10-80
10.2.20	Pileated Woodpecker	10-83
10.2.21	Olive-sided Flycatcher	10-86
10.2.22	Long-eared Myotis	10-89
10.2.23	Long-legged Myotis	10-92
10.2.24	Yuma Myotis	10-94
10.2.25	Pacific Townsend's Big-eared Bat	10-96
10.2.26	Western Gray Squirrel	10-98
10.2.27	Northern Flying Squirrel	10-100
11.0	Alternatives to the Proposed Incidental Take	11-1
11.1	Introduction	11-1
11.2	Alternative Management Prescriptions to Support the Proposed ITPs	11-1
11.3	Management Under Current Washington Forest Practices Rules	11-2
11.4	Riparian Management According to Washington Forest Practices Rules in Effect on 1 January 1999	11-2
11.5	Management to Avoid All Risk of Incidental Take	11-2
11.6	Incidental Take Coverage for Fish Species Only	11-3
12.0	References	12-1
13.0	Glossary	13-1
14.0	List of Acronyms	14-1
15.0	List of Preparers	15-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

Volume II

- Appendix A. Implementing Agreement**
- Appendix B. Status and Biology of the Covered Species**
- Appendix C. Family Forest Habitat Conservation Plan Technical Paper No. 1**
- Appendix D. Family Forest Habitat Conservation Plan Review of Targets and Database**
- Appendix E. FFHCP Technical Paper No. 2**
- Appendix F. Water Quality Data for the Cowlitz and Upper Chehalis River Basins**
- Appendix G. Minimal Effects Strategy for Riparian Shade**
- Appendix H. View-to-Sky Methodology**
- Appendix I. Table for Converting from Actual Tree Density to Relative Density**

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1	Species covered by the Family Forest Habitat Conservation Plan.....	3-2
Table 3-2	Washington Forest Practices Rules from which landowners covered by the FFHCP will be exempt, and corresponding FFHCP replacement measures	3-5
Table 3-3	Wildlife species covered by the FFHCP for which the Washington Forest Practices Board has designated critical habitat at the State level.....	3-7
Table 3-4	Forest practices compliance and enforcement activity for 2000 through 2006	3-17
Table 4-1	Summary of major land uses in Lewis County, Washington	4-4
Table 4-2	Average weather conditions for selected locations in Lewis County, Washington from 1971-2000	4-6
Table 4-3	Distribution of FFHCP eligible lands within the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins	4-12
Table 4-4	Distribution of streams on FFHCP eligible lands within the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins	4-13
Table 4-5	Summary of the distribution of FFHCP eligible lands and streams within Watershed Administrative Units (WAU) in Lewis County	4-16
Table 4-6	Summary of stream gradients on FFHCP lands.....	4-16
Table 4-7	Lengths of FFHCP eligible stream within individual eligible ownerships.....	4-17
Table 4-8	Lengths of FFHCP eligible stream within patches of contiguous eligible lands.....	4-17
Table 4-9	State water quality temperature standards for various aquatic use categories in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington.....	4-19
Table 4-10	Summary of 104 temperature records for Washington Department of Ecology ambient water temperature monitoring sites in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins, Lewis County, Washington.....	4-23
Table 4-11	Washington Department of Ecology water temperature monitoring results for the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins in Lewis County, Washington	4-25

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table 4-12	Summary of water temperature data collected by the Chehalis River Council at four sites in the Upper Chehalis Basin of Lewis County, Washington.....	4-26
Table 4-13	Surface water temperatures predicted for selected locations on eligible lands under existing stand conditions, as determined by Family Forest Foundation case studies	4-27
Table 4-14	Washington State fresh water aquatic life dissolved oxygen criteria.....	4-28
Table 4-15	Washington State fresh water aquatic life turbidity criteria.....	4-31
Table 4-16	Fish species in the Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington with special status at the State or Federal level	4-36
Table 4-17	Distributions of salmonid fishes within the Chehalis and Cowlitz basins in Lewis County, Washington, and on FFHCP eligible lands	4-37
Table 4-18	Summary of salmon and anadromous trout stock status in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington	4-43
Table 4-19	Summary of the limiting factors affecting life stages of salmonid fish species in the Cowlitz River Basin.....	4-46
Table 4-20.	Cowlitz Basin salmonid population metrics of abundance, productivity and diversity per EDT Model.....	4-47
Table 4-21	Wildlife species with special status at the State or Federal level that are currently present or were historically present in the Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington.....	4-49
Table 5-1	FFHCP stream classes and corresponding water types under the Washington Forest Practices Rules	5-4
Table 5-2	Riparian buffers to be implemented under the FFHCP	5-5
Table 5-3	Estimated miles of streams on the eligible lands according to the FFHCP stream classification system, and comparison to the interim and permanent water types used in Washington State Forest Practices Rules.....	5-7
Table 5-4	Riparian tree heights needed to meet State Water Quality Criterion for Core Waters (16.0 °C) and Non-Core Waters (17.5 °C) according to the WFPB Temperature/ Elevation Screen for Western Washington.....	5-9
Table 5-5	Partial-harvest riparian buffer prescription for FFHCP Class A and B streams	5-14

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table 5-6	Partial-harvest riparian buffer prescription for FFHCP Class C, D _P , and D _{S, Fish} streams	5-14
Table 5-7	Minimum functional log sizes used in the calculation of AFLWD	5-27
Table 5-8	Criteria used to select plots from the FIA Integrated Database for the FFHCP reference condition, and actual conditions of the plots selected	5-28
Table 5-9	Comparison of the FIA Integrated Database sample to predicted normal and average stands according to McArdle et al. (1949)	5-32
Table 5-10	Mean snags per acre for selected forest types and successional stages in Oregon and Washington.....	5-33
Table 5-11	FFHCP reference condition values for Available Functional Large Woody Debris (AFLWD) based on live tree values for the FIA Integrated Database 179-Plot sample and mean snag estimates of Ohmann and Waddell (2002)	5-34
Table 5-12	Summary of initial stand condition (age 20) used for growth and yield projections of riparian forest management under the FFHCP	5-35
Table 5-13	Summary of initial stand condition (age 20) used for growth and yield projections of low-density no-harvest riparian buffers under the FFHCP	5-41
Table 6-1	Standing legacy wood unit values to be applied to live trees at the time of regeneration harvest on lands covered by the FFHCP.....	6-6
Table 6-2	Standing legacy wood unit values to be applied to snags and stumps at the time of regeneration harvest on lands covered by the FFHCP.....	6-7
Table 6-3	Coarse woody debris retention requirements for lands covered by the FFHCP.....	6-8
Table 6-4	Five-class system of log decomposition developed by Maser et al. (1979), based on the work of Fogel et al. (1973).....	6-9
Table 6-5	Nest tree disturbance buffer seasons.....	6-14
Table 7-1	No-harvest riparian buffer width adjustments for Class C, D and perennial E streams	7-2
Table 10-1	Comparison of WDNR minimal effects and FFHCP minimal effects buffers, and the distribution of stream classes in the upper Chehalis and Cowlitz River basins of Lewis County	10-3

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table 10-2	Juvenile and adult population abundance, productivity and diversity based on EDT analysis of current habitat conditions in the Cowlitz Basin	10-9
Table 10-3	Summary comparison of stream miles and acres under riparian protection on eligible lands under the FFHCP and the minimal effects approach.....	10-11
Table 10-4	Summary AFLWD density (pieces per acre) at 120 years for various management scenarios and channel sizes on the eligible lands.....	10-12
Table 10-5	Summary AFLWD volume (cubic feet per acre) at 120 years for various management scenarios and channel sizes on the eligible lands	10-13
Table 10-6	Comparison of FFHCP and minimal effects water temperatures over time at two elevations and at mean widths of five FFHCP channel size classes based on the VTS Model (Temp. = 7-DADmax °C)	10-19
Table 10-7	Associations used in translating EDT Environmental Attribute Values to survival factors through biological rule sets for listed anadromous salmonid fishes.....	10-25
Table 10-8	Time in years to achieve FFHCP reference condition AFLWD values under the minimal effects and FFHCP management approaches.....	10-26
Table 10-9	Estimates of the maximum potential effect of the FFHCP on adult salmon abundance in the Lower Columbia ESU and the Cowlitz River WRIA.....	10-28
Table 10-10	Acres of potential riparian buffer on eligible lands under the FFHCP and the current Forest Practices Rules, assuming both sides of each stream are buffered	10-49
Table 10-11	Spotted owl sites within the provincial median home range radius of FFHCP eligible lands.....	10-75

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	Integration of social, ecological and economic values.....	2-6
Figure 4-1	Map of Lewis County, Washington showing lands currently eligible for coverage under the Family Forest HCP	4-2
Figure 4-2	Size distribution of FFHCP eligible land ownerships	4-3
Figure 4-3	Size distribution of FFHCP eligible land patches (multiple contiguous parcels)	4-3
Figure 4-4	Map of Lewis County, Washington showing FFHCP eligible lands and major surface waters	4-10
Figure 4-5	Map of Lewis County, Washington showing FFHCP eligible lands and Watershed Administrative Units	4-11
Figure 4-6	Map of the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington showing salmon, trout and native char Core and Non-core stream reaches for water temperature standards.....	4-20
Figure 4-7	Map of Lewis County, Washington showing protected spawning and incubation streams and seasons for application of 13 °C (55.5 °F) temperature standards	4-22
Figure 4-8	Map of Washington Department of Ecology ambient water temperature monitoring sites in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington	4-24
Figure 4-9	Map of Lewis County, Washington showing Section 303(d) Category 5 water temperature limited stream reaches	4-29
Figure 4-10	Map of Chinook salmon distribution in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington.....	4-38
Figure 4-11	Map of chum salmon distribution in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington.....	4-39
Figure 4-12	Map of coho salmon distribution in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington.....	4-40
Figure 4-13	Map of steelhead/rainbow trout distribution in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington	4-41
Figure 4-14	Map of cutthroat trout distribution in the Upper Chehalis and Cowlitz basins of Lewis County, Washington.....	4-42

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure 5-1	Diagram of riparian buffers to be implemented under the FFHCP	5-6
Figure 5-2	Water temperature projections for FFHCP streams at elevation 200 feet in accordance with the VTS model	5-11
Figure 5-3	Water temperature projections for FFHCP streams at elevation 680 feet in accordance with the VTS model	5-11
Figure 5-4	Future riparian forest conditions along FFHCP Class A and B streams, as depicted by Landscape Management System	5-16
Figure 5-5	Future riparian forest conditions along FFHCP Class C, D _P , and D _{S, Fish} streams as depicted by Landscape Management System	5-18
Figure 5-6	Future riparian forest conditions along FFHCP Class E _P , E _{S, Fish} streams as depicted by Landscape Management System	5-20
Figure 5-7	Diagram of random tree placement within a hypothetical riparian acre.....	5-23
Figure 5-8	Diagram of method for calculating the maximum potential instream log	5-24
Figure 5-9	Profile of a hypothetical riparian forest showing the portion of trees capable of becoming instream LWD and contributing to AFLWD	5-25
Figure 5-10a	Graph of cumulative AFLWD pieces in the FFHCP reference condition sample, with comparison to McDade et al. (1990)	5-26
Figure 5-10b	Graph of cumulative AFLWD volume in the FFHCP reference condition sample	5-26
Figure 5-11a	Predicted AFLWD volume for FFHCP Class A and B streams with Initial riparian forest stocking of 472 trees per acre at age 20.....	5-37
Figure 5-11b	Predicted AFLWD density for FFHCP Class A and B streams with Initial riparian forest stocking of 472 trees per acre at age 20.....	5-37
Figure 5-12a	Predicted AFLWD volume for FFHCP Class C and perennial and fish-bearing seasonal Class D streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 472 trees per acre at age 20	5-38
Figure 5-12b	Predicted AFLWD density for FFHCP Class C and perennial and fish-bearing seasonal Class D streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 472 trees per acre at age 20	5-38

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure 5-13a	Predicted AFLWD volume for seasonal non-fish FFHCP Class E streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 472 trees per acre at age 20.....	5-39
Figure 5-13b	Predicted AFLWD density for seasonal non-fish FFHCP Class E streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 472 trees per acre at age 20.....	5-39
Figure 5-14a	Predicted AFLWD volume for perennial and fish-bearing seasonal FFHCP Class E streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 472 trees per acre at age 20	5-40
Figure 5-14b	Predicted AFLWD density for perennial and fish-bearing seasonal FFHCP Class E streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 472 trees per acre at age 20	5-40
Figure 5-15	Predicted trends in Relative Density (Curtis 1982) and stocking for an unmanaged Douglas-fir stand with 290 trees per acre at age 20 on Site Index 120, as modeled by ORGANON SMC 8.2.....	5-42
Figure 5-16a	Predicted AFLWD volume for FFHCP Class C, D _P , and D _{S, Fish} streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 290 trees per acre at age 20.....	5-43
Figure 5-16b	Predicted AFLWD density for FFHCP Class C, D _P , and D _{S, Fish} streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 290 trees per acre at age 20.....	5-43
Figure 5-17a	Predicted AFLWD volume for FFHCP Class C, D and E _P streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 242 trees per acre at age 20 and 50-foot No-Cut.....	5-44
Figure 5-17b	Predicted AFLWD density for FFHCP Class C, D and E _P streams with initial riparian forest stocking of 242 trees per acre at age 20 and 50-foot No-Cut.....	5-44
Figure 10-1a	Predicted AFLWD volume for a 210-foot, no-harvest riparian buffer with initial forest stocking of 472 trees per acre.	10-14
Figure 10-1b	Predicted AFLWD density for a 210-foot, no-harvest riparian buffer with initial forest stocking of 472 trees per acre.....	10-14
Figure 10-2a	Predicted AFLWD volume for a 210-foot, no-harvest riparian buffer with initial forest stocking of 242 trees per acre.....	10-15
Figure 10-2b	Predicted AFLWD density for a 210-foot, no-harvest riparian buffer with initial forest stocking of 242 trees per acre.....	10-15
Figure 10-3a	Predicted AFLWD volume for a 170-foot, no-harvest riparian buffer with initial forest stocking of 472 trees per acre.....	10-16

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure 10-3b	Predicted AFLWD density for a 170-foot, no-harvest riparian buffer with initial forest stocking of 472 trees per acre.....	10-16
Figure 10-4a	Predicted AFLWD volume for a 170-foot, no-harvest riparian buffer with initial forest stocking of 242 trees per acre.....	10-17
Figure 10-4b	Predicted AFLWD density for a 170-foot, no-harvest riparian buffer with initial forest stocking of 242 trees per acre.....	10-17
Figure 10-5	Comparison of riparian thinning treatments and effects on stream temperature.....	10-21
Figure 10-6	Change in riparian tree height needed to increase water temperatures 0.3 °C as a function of channel width and riparian stand structure	10-22
Figure 10-7	Relationship between percent fines and survival from embryo deposition to emergence for coho salmon	10-23
Figure 10-8	Large wood recruitment potential as a function of riparian buffer width	10-29